

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FI | LING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|------|------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/003,853 | 1 | 11/02/2001 | Ashok V. Joshi | 4729US | 7449 | |
| 55162 | 7590 | 06/22/2006 | | EXAMINER | | |
| CERAMATEC, INC. | | | | DESANTO, MATTHEW F | | |
| 2425 SOUTH 900 WEST SALT LAKE CITY, UT | | - | | | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | , | | | | | |

DATE MAILED: 06/22/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | e |
|--|---|
| | |
| | |
| | |

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|--------------------|-----------------|--|--|
| 10/003,853 | JOSHI, ASHOK V. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Matthew F. DeSanto | 3763 | | |

| Advisory Action | 10/003,853 JOSHI, ASHOK V. | | |
|--|---|---|---|
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Matthew F. DeSanto | 3763 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 30 May 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP | LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL | LOWANCE. | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: | n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, affortice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in one with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply more | Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | ce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to the content of the conten | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin | g date of the final rejecti | on. |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | | E FIRST REPLY WAS F | ILED WITHIN |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi | ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | |
| 3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, | but prior to the date of filing a brief. | will not be entered be | ecause |
| (a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) | nsideration and/or search (see NO ow); | TE below); | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in begappeal; and/or | | | the issues for |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 | | ected claims. | |
| 1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | | mpliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). | _ | • | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | ll be entered and an e | explanation of |
| Claim(s) objected to: <u>24 and 26</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-21,23,25,27-35 and 37</u> . | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| 3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S | al and/or appellant fai see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(| ls to provide a 1). |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | • | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by | | | nce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other: | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N | No(s) ? | |
| | My | MODUFOI. | |
| | NICHOLAS D. LU SUPERVISORY PATEN | | |

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendments to the claims change the scope of the claims and thus cause further consideration and a new search .

2